ated charitable speakers delivering comparable solicitations. *See* Petition for Writ of Certiorari, p. 23, n. 7. Respondent's argument that its regulation is justified by the North Dakota Legislature's judgment that professional fundraisers can deliver a greater volume of calls is tantamount to an admission of an equal protection violation—not a permissible justification for one.

## CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, Petitioners respectfully request that the Court consider the Petition in this action concurrently with the Petition for a Writ of Certiorari in the *National Federation of the Blind*, Case No. 05-927 and grant both Petitions.

Respectfully submitted,

RONALD J. KOHUT
KOHUT & KOHUT LLP
3554 Round Burn Blvd.,
Suite 204
Santa Rosa, CA 95403
(707) 573-3100

ERROL COPILEVITZ
*Counsel of Record*
WILLIAM RANEY
COPILEVITZ & CANTER, LLC
423 W. 8th Street
Suite 400
Kansas City, Missouri 64105
(816) 472-9000

*Attorneys for Petitioners*

May 8, 2006